COZEN O'CONNOR

Title IX Hearings Under the New Regulations: Essential Elements, Pitfalls, and Effective Practices

Presented By:

The Institutional Response Group | Cozen O'Connor Gina Maisto Smith, Chair Leslie M. Gomez, Vice Chair

National Association of Diversity Officers in Higher Education August 13, 2020































COZEN O'CONNOR

Regulations: "Legally Binding Obligations"

• "Because these final regulations represent the Department's interpretation of a recipient's legally binding obligations, **rather than best practices**, recommendations, or guidance, these final regulations focus on **precise legal compliance requirements** governing recipients."

17

Title IX Regulations issued May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30030







































Participation by Parties and Witnesses

• The Department understands commenters concerns that **respondents**, **complainants**, **and witnesses may be absent from a hearing**, or may refuse to submit to cross-examination, for a variety of reasons, including a respondent's selfincrimination concerns regarding a related criminal proceeding, a complainant's reluctance to be cross-examined, or a witness studying abroad, among many other reasons.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30346

Notice

Intake

Formal Complaint

Investigation

Hearing

Appeal





COZEN O'CONNOR

Participation by the Complainant

• Where a grievance process is initiated because the Title IX Coordinator, and not the complainant, signed the formal complaint, the complainant who did not wish to initiate a grievance process **remains under no obligation to then participate in the grievance process**, and the Department does not believe that exclusion of the complainant's statements in such a scenario is unfair to the complainant, who did not wish to file a formal complaint in the first place yet remains eligible to receive supportive measures protecting the complainant's equal access to education.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30346

39

39

Notice

Intake

Formal Complaint

Investigation

Hearing

Appeal





Non-Postsecondary Institutions

 With or without a hearing, after the recipient has sent the investigative report to the parties ... and before reaching a determination regarding responsibility, the decision-maker(s) must afford each party the opportunity to submit written, relevant questions that a party wants asked of any party or witness, provide each party with the answers, and allow for additional, limited follow-up questions.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)(ii)

Notice

Intake

Formal Complaint

Investigation

Hearing

Appeal

































































Discretion as to Advisor's Role

Section 106.45(b)(5)(iv) (allowing recipients to place restrictions on active participation by party advisors) and the revised introductory sentence to § 106.45(b) (requiring any rules a recipient adopts for its grievance process other than rules required under § 106.45 to apply equally to both parties) would, for example, **permit a recipient to require parties personally to answer questions** posed by an investigator during an interview, or **personally to make any opening or closing statements** the recipient allows at a live hearing, so long as such rules apply equally to both parties.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30298. **71**



71



Discretion as to Advisor's Role We do not believe that specifying what restrictions on advisor participation may be appropriate is

on advisor participation may be appropriate is necessary, and we decline to remove the discretion of a recipient to restrict an advisor's participation so as not to unnecessarily limit a recipient's flexibility to conduct a grievance process that both complies with § 106.45 and, in the recipient's judgment, best serves the needs and interests of the recipient and its educational community.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30298.




























Opportunity to Challenge Evidence

 Cross-examination in the § 106.45 grievance process is intended to give both parties equal opportunity to meaningfully challenge the plausibility, reliability, credibility, and consistency of the other party and witnesses so that the outcome of each individual case is more likely to be factually accurate, reducing the likelihood of either type of erroneous outcome (i.e., inaccurately finding a respondent to be responsible, or inaccurately finding a respondent to be non-responsible).

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020, Preamble 85 F.R. 30336

85

85

Notice

Intake

Formal Complaint

Investigation

Hearing

Appeal







































































COZEN O'CONNOR

Relevance: Explaining Exclusion

[I]t is sufficient, for example, for a decisionmaker to explain that a question is irrelevant because the question calls for **prior sexual behavior** information without meeting one of the two exceptions, or because the question asks about a detail that is **not probative of any material fact** concerning the allegations. No lengthy or complicated exposition is required to satisfy this provision.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30343

121



Notice

Intake

Formal Complaint

vestigation

Hearing

Appeal













































