Seven Rivers Criteria for Evaluating Oral Presentations

Each oral presentation will be evaluated by faculty based on the following criteria. Evaluations and overall rankings will be used to determine award winners for each disciplinary area (humanities, social sciences, and natural sciences). Student presenters will receive copies of the feedback provided via the evaluation forms during the week following the symposium.

Missing 0	Needs Improvement 1		Adequate 2	G00a 3	Excellent 4
	Comments:				
Introduction (clear objectives & background)	/4	Comments	•		
Purpose (research purpose clear for general audience)	/4				
Methodology (clearly explained for a general audience)	/4				
Work Quality/Results (logical, clearly presented, pertinent)	/4				
Conclusion (well-supported, a clear summary)	/4				
Delivery skills (good volume & pace, good eye contact, subtle use of notes)	/4				
Preparation (logical sequence, evidence of preparation, effective use of time)	/4				
Understanding of Subject (clear mastery of study, effective response to questions)	/4				
OVERALL RANKING (total/possible points)	/32				